


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



In the matter of)
)
PROPOSED LOCAL RULE)
CHANGES)
_____)

General Order No. 728

After considering any public comment, the Court will consider amending the following Local Rule as follows:

Criminal Local Rule 16.1

Not later than fourteen calendar days after the arraignment on an Indictment or Information, the attorney for the defendant(s) and the attorney for the government must confer and attempt to agree on a timetable and procedures for the pretrial disclosure of materials set forth in Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16. Generally, this conference should be in person; however, in early disposition (fast track) cases or when it is impractical to meet in person, the conference may be conducted via telephone or email.

During the conference, or as soon as practicable thereafter considering the size and complexity of the case, the parties should consider ways in which to ensure the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay and the expeditious government production of electronically stored information (“ESI”) and other voluminous discovery. If discovery includes ESI, the parties must discuss the appropriate form and format of the production of materials containing ESI. To the extent practicable, this material should be produced in a searchable and reasonably usable format.


Not later than seven calendar days prior to the first motion hearing, the parties must inform the court in writing of the agreed upon timetable for production of discovery, including the Alien Registration File, body-port-or remote cam video, car/vehicle inspection, DEA drug reports, cell phone extraction data, and/or ESI where applicable, and any areas of disagreement.

The period of public comment will be until April 3, 2020. Absent further order of this Court, the effective date of the Rule amendments is May 1, 2020. Any comments should be submitted to the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, at 333 West Broadway, Room 420, San Diego, California 92101.


* * *

IT IS SO ORDERED.


Dated: 3/2/2020




LARRY ALAN BURNS,
Chief Judge
United States District Court



DANA M. SABRAW, Judge
United States District Court



WILLIAM Q. HAYES, Judge
United States District Court



JANIS L. SAMMARTINO, Judge
United States District Court




ANTHONY J. BATTAGLIA, Judge
United States District Court




CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO, Judge
United States District Court




GONZALO P. CURIEL, Judge
United States District Court




CYNTHIA BASHANT, Judge
United States District Court




WILLIAM B. ENRIGHT, Judge
United States District Court




MARILYN L. HUFF, Judge
United States District Court



BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ, Judge
United States District Court



JEFFREY T. MILLER, Judge
United States District Court




THOMAS J. WHELAN, Judge
United States District Court

SEE ATTACHED

M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge
United States District Court



JOHN A. HOUSTON, Judge
United States District Court



ROGER T. BENITEZ, Judge
United States District Court



MICHAEL M. ANELLO, Judge
United States District Court

WILLIAM B. ENRIGHT, Judge
United States District Court

MARILYN L. HUFF, Judge
United States District Court

BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ, Judge
United States District Court

JEFFREY T. MILLER, Judge
United States District Court

THOMAS J. WHELAN, Judge
United States District Court


M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge
United States District Court

JOHN A. HOUSTON, Judge
United States District Court

ROGER T. BENITEZ, Judge
United States District Court

MICHAEL M. ANELLO, Judge
United States District Court