

FILED

DEC 14 2017

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In the matter of)
)
LOCAL RULES AMENDMENTS)
)
_____)

General Order No. 665

Good cause appearing, and following a public comment period, the Court amends the following Local Rule as follows:

Civil Local Rule 69.1

Proceedings to Enforce Judgments (In District and Out of District)

- a. All motions for Judgment Debtor examinations made in connection with a civil or criminal judgment obtained in this district must be filed with the magistrate judge assigned to the case. All motions for Judgment Debtor examinations made in connection with an out-of-district judgment registered in this district must be filed with the magistrate judge handling CVB duty. All motions for Judgment Debtor examinations are heard weekly on Wednesday mornings on the CVB calendar.
- b. All other motions concerning execution of a judgment must be made to the assigned district judge, unless the motion relates to post-judgment discovery, in which case the motion must be made to the assigned magistrate judge. If no judge has been previously assigned, the case will be randomly assigned to a district judge and a magistrate judge under a new Civil Number (CV).

Patent Local Rules, Model Protective Order

Introductory Second Paragraph

* * *

The materials to be exchanged throughout the course of the litigation between the parties may contain trade secret or other confidential research, technical, cost, price, marketing or other commercial information, as is contemplated by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(7)(1)(G). The purpose of this Order is to protect the confidentiality of such materials as much as practical during the litigation. THEREFORE:

Definitions

1. The term “~~Confidential Information~~” “confidential information” . . .

* * *

9. Information designated “~~CONFIDENTIAL~~” “confidential” must be viewed only by counsel (as defined in paragraph 3) of the receiving party, by independent experts (pursuant to the terms of paragraph 8), by court personnel) and . . .

* * *

24. Transmission by ~~facsimile~~ email or some other currently utilized method of transmission is acceptable for all notification purposes within this ~~order~~ Order.

Criminal Local Rule 38.1.8

* * *

~~**Motions for Departure under 5K1.1.** Motions for departure under 5K1.1 and any written materials relating to those motions, including notice by sentencing summary chart, must be delivered to the chambers of the sentencing judge and copies made available to opposing counsel seven (7) days before the sentence hearing date. Service on pro per defendants will be accomplished on an ad hoc basis at the direction of the sentencing judge. Such motions and supporting documents will not be filed in the court clerk's~~

~~file unless specifically so ordered by the sentencing judge after the opportunity to be heard has been afforded to counsel for the government and for the defendant.~~

Criminal Local Rule 57.2.1.a.1


Criminal cases are deemed related when (a) more than one indictment or information is filed or pending against the same defendant or defendants in the same case (i.e. in a superseding indictment or information), or (b) prosecution against different defendants arises from (i) a common wiretap, (ii) a common search warrant, or (iii) activities that are part of the same alleged criminal event or transaction, that is, the cases involve substantially the same facts and the same questions of law.


* * *


The period for public comment will be until January 15, 2018. Absent further order of this Court, the effective date of the Rule amendments is February 15, 2018. Any comments should be submitted to the Clerk, U.S. District Court, at 333 West Broadway, Suite 420, San Diego, California 92101.


IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12-6-17


BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ,
Chief Judge
United States District Court



LARRY A. BURNS, Judge
United States District Court


DANA M. SABRAW, Judge
United States District Court



WILLIAM Q. HAYES, Judge
United States District Court




JOHN A. HOUSTON, Judge
United States District Court




ROBERT T. BENITEZ, Judge
United States District Court



JANIS L. SAMMARTINO, Judge
United States District Court




MICHAEL M. ANELLO, Judge
United States District Court




ANTHONY J. BATTAGLIA,
Judge United States District Court




CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO,
Judge United States District Court



GONZALO P. CURIEL, Judge
United States District Court



CYNTHIA BASHANT, Judge
United States District Court




WILLIAM B. ENRIGHT, Judge
United States District Court



MARILYN L. HUFF, Judge
United States District Court



JEFFREY T. MILLER, Judge
United States District Court



THOMAS J. WHELAN, Judge
United States District Court

see attached

M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge
United States District Court

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. James Lorenz". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "M".

M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge
United States District Court