

ANNUAL REPORT
COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
CALENDAR YEAR 2012



JULY 2013

PREPARED BY
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATERMASTER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
V.
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL

CIVIL NO. 1247-SD-GPC-RBB

ANNUAL REPORT
COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
CALENDAR YEAR 2012

JULY 2013

PREPARED BY

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATERMASTER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL

CIVIL NO. 1247-SD-GPC-RBB

WATERMASTER
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
P.O. Box 631
Fallbrook, California 92088
(760) 728-1028
FAX (760) 728-1990

July 15, 2013

Honorable Gonzalo P. Curiel
United States District Court
Southern District of California
221 West Broadway
San Diego, CA 92101


Re: *U.S.A. v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al.*, Civil No. 51-cv-1247-GPC-RBB
Final Annual CWRMA Report for Calendar Year 2012

Dear Judge Curiel:

In accordance with Section 13 of the Cooperative Annual Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA), approved by the Court on August 20, 2002, the Watermaster has prepared the Annual CWRMA Report for Calendar Year 2012. The report was prepared in consultation with the CWRMA Technical Advisory Committee and has been approved by the signatory parties to the CWRMA. Accordingly, please find the enclosed hard copy and CD containing the PDF files for the final Annual CWRMA Report for Calendar Year 2012. Please make arrangements for posting the PDF files on the electronic docket.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Charles W. Binder, P.E.
Watermaster

CWB:aen
Enclosures
cc (w/o Encl.): Honorable Ruben B. Brooks
cc (w/ Hard Copy of Encl.): Distribution List

WATERMASTER
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Honorable Gonzalo P. Curiel
Re: Final Annual CWRMA Report for Calendar Year 2012
July 15, 2013
Page 2 of 2

Distribution List:

Matthew G. Stone
General Manager
Rancho California Water District
P.O. Box 9017
Temecula, CA 92589-9017

John O. Simpson, Director
Office of Water Resources
Box 555013
Marine Corps Base
Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5013

Craig Elitharp
Director of Operations and Maintenance
Rancho California Water District
P. O. Box 9017
Temecula, CA 92589-9017

Daniel P. Bartu
Office of Water Resources
Box 555013
Marine Corps Base
Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5013

James B. Gilpin, Esq.
Best, Best & Krieger
655 West Broadway, 15th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101-8493

Paul R. Boughman, Esq.
Western Area Counsel Office
Box 55231 Bldg. 1254
Marine Corps Base
Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5231

Dennis E. Williams
GEOSCIENCE Support Services Inc.
P. O. Box 220
Claremont, CA 91711

David B. Glazer, Esq.
US DOJ Env & Nat Resource Dept.
Natural Resources Section
301 Howard Street, Suite 1050
San Francisco, CA 94105

Wesley R. Danskin
USGS
San Diego Projects Office
4165 Spruance Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92101

Michael E. McPherson, Esq.
344 Plumosa Avenue
Vista, CA 92083

Michael T. Wright
USGS
San Diego Projects Office
4165 Spruance Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92101

Stephen B. Reich
Stetson Engineers, Inc.
2171 E. Francisco Boulevard, Suite K
San Rafael, CA 94901

Molly Palmer
Stetson Engineers, Inc.
2171 E. Francisco Boulevard, Suite K
San Rafael, CA 94901

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Introduction _____	1
1.1 Background _____	1
1.2 Purpose of Report _____	1
1.3 Activities for Calendar Year 2012 _____	2
1.3.1 Ongoing Activities _____	2
1.3.2 Other Activities _____	2
2. Flow Requirements and Accounts _____	2
2.1 Make-up Water _____	2
2.2 Accounting Procedures _____	3
2.3 Hydrologic Condition _____	3
2.4 Annual Accounting for 2012 CWRMA Operations _____	5
2.5 Climatic Credits _____	10
2.6 CAP Credits _____	10
2.7 Camp Pendleton Groundwater Account _____	11
3. Section 5(g) Monitoring Program _____	11
4. Section 7(d) Monitoring Program _____	12
5. Water Quality _____	15
5.1 Gorge _____	15
5.2 Monitoring Wells _____	15
5.3 Source Water _____	15
5.4 RCWD Production Wells _____	16
6. CWRMA Groundwater Model _____	17
7. Other Items Related to CWRMA _____	17
7.1 CASGEM Program _____	17
7.2 Proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility _____	18

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page No.</u>
1 – Definition of Terms _____	4
2 – Section 5 Minimum Daily Flow Requirements _____	6
3 – Hydrologic Conditions for Operations under CWRMA (2003 to Present) _____	7
4 – Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits and Accounts (2012 Calendar Year, Critically Dry Year) _____	9

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page No.</u>
1 – Piezometric Head for Multiple Depth Monitoring Well, Pala Park Well _____	13
2 – Piezometric Head for Multiple Depth Monitoring Well, Wolf Valley Well _____	14

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Hydrologic Condition Determination	
Appendix B-1 – February 8, 2013 Memorandum from Stetson Engineers, Inc.	
Appendix B-2 – 2012 Requested Modifications for Required Minimum Daily Flows	
Appendix C-1 – Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well	
Appendix C-2 – Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well	
Appendix D-1 – Water Quality Data for Imported Water Delivered to RCWD Upper VDC Recharge Basins	
Appendix D-2 – Water Quality Data for Vail Lake	
Appendix E – Water Quality Data for Selected RCWD Production Wells	

MAPS

Major Water Purveyors
CWRMA Location Map

Bound at back of report
Bound at back of report

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

On August 20, 2002, the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) between the United States, on behalf of Camp Pendleton, and Rancho California Water District (District) was approved by the United States District Court in *United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al.* (Civil No. 51-cv-1247-GPC-RBB) (*Fallbrook Case*). The Court Order (Docket Nos. 4867 and 4869) incorporated CWRMA into the Judgment in the *Fallbrook Case* pursuant to the Court's continuing jurisdiction. The purpose of CWRMA is to allow Camp Pendleton and the District to effectively manage water resources consistent with prior rights and entitlements while avoiding potential conflicts. These prior rights and entitlements are derived from the *Fallbrook Case* that incorporates the stipulated judgment in *Rancho Santa Margarita v. Vail*, San Diego Superior Court Action No. 42850 (1940 Judgment). The parties agreed and the Court ordered that, to the extent the provisions of CWRMA are inconsistent with the 1940 Judgment, the provisions of CWRMA shall control for so long as CWRMA is being complied with and in effect.

The CWRMA includes provisions for guaranteed flows for the Santa Margarita River near Temecula (USGS Gaging Station No. 11044000) commonly referred to as the Gorge. Other provisions include monitoring and operation of the groundwater resources upstream of the Gorge, and monitoring of operations under CWRMA to assess impacts on water supply, water quality, and riparian habitat within Camp Pendleton. The CWRMA is administered by the Santa Margarita River Watershed Watermaster (Watermaster) appointed by the Court in the *Fallbrook Case*, in consultation with a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). The Major Water Purveyors map at the end of this report shows the watershed boundary, major streams and reservoirs, boundaries for the major water purveyors, and other geographical features of interest. The CWRMA Location Map also included at the end of this report provides an enlargement of the primary area pertaining to CWRMA and displays key gages, groundwater monitoring wells, selected groundwater production wells, and other features for implementation of CWRMA.

1.2 Purpose of Report

Section 13 of CWRMA specifies the Watermaster shall prepare an annual report regarding the performance under the various provisions of CWRMA for filing in the *Fallbrook Case*. Prior Annual Watermaster Reports served as the annual report specified under CWRMA for submission to the Court. Beginning in calendar year 2011, a separate annual report has been prepared and submitted to the Court to meet the requirements of CWRMA. The Annual Watermaster Report continues to include a section dedicated to CWRMA, focusing on the accounting and operations related to make-up water releases and flow requirements for the

Santa Margarita River at the Gorge. The Annual CWRMA Report is prepared by the Watermaster in consultation with the TAC and incorporates materials prepared by Camp Pendleton, the District, and the United States Geological Survey (USGS).

1.3 Activities for Calendar Year 2012

1.3.1 Ongoing Activities

Several ongoing activities are conducted in accordance with CWRMA and such activities are described and reported in subsequent sections of the Annual CWRMA Report. Ongoing activities include conducting quarterly TAC meetings, determination of hydrologic year type, operation and accounting for make-up water and flow requirements at the Gorge, monitoring under the programs specified in Sections 5(g) and 7(d) of CWRMA, water quality monitoring, and actions related to the CWRMA Groundwater Model.

The TAC is chaired by the Watermaster and includes representatives of Camp Pendleton, the District, and the USGS. Quarterly TAC meetings are conducted with agenda items including ongoing and other activities related to implementation of CWRMA. Minutes and other meeting materials are maintained in the Watermaster files. During 2012, regularly scheduled quarterly TAC meetings were conducted on January 17, April 17, July 17, and October 16. Additionally, a technical subgroup of the TAC conducted various workshops throughout the year in the ongoing effort to update the CWRMA Groundwater Model.

1.3.2 Other Activities

Other activities related to CWRMA are also described and reported in the Annual CWRMA Report. These other activities for 2012 include development of a State implemented groundwater elevation monitoring program and the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Project.

2. Flow Requirements and Accounts

2.1 Make-up Water

Section 5 of CWRMA includes provisions for the District to guarantee specific flows at the Gorge. These guaranteed flows, or flow requirements, are determined based on agreed-upon methodologies and vary on a monthly basis depending upon hydrologic conditions. At a minimum, the District guarantees that flows, based on a 10-day running average, shall at no time be less than 3.0 cubic feet per second (cfs).

In order to meet the flow requirements, the District provides make-up water in accordance with Section 6 of CWRMA. The make-up water can be supplied from various sources; however, the District relies on two primary sources, both discharging into the river at the same location immediately upstream from the USGS gaging station at the Gorge. The first primary source of make-up water is raw water from Metropolitan Water District (MWD) Aqueduct No. 5 discharged at Outlet WR-34. The second primary source of make-up water is from the District's treated water distribution system through a potable connection to the WR-34 outlet pipe. In prior years, make-up water was also discharged from the treated water distribution system to Murrieta Creek from two system discharge meters collectively referred to as the System River Meter. The two system discharge meters are located on opposite sides of Murrieta Creek immediately downstream of the USGS gaging station for Murrieta Creek at Temecula, which is located approximately 2,000 feet upstream of the confluence of Temecula Creek and Murrieta Creek. The System River Meter is operable as a secondary source of make-up water if needed. Outlet WR-34 and the USGS gaging station at the Gorge are shown on the CWRMA Location Map.

2.2 Accounting Procedures

The methods of accounting for the operations under CWRMA are specified in Sections 5 and 6 of CWRMA. Specific accounting procedures have evolved since the first year of operation under CWRMA in 2003. On April 21, 2006, Camp Pendleton and the District signed an accounting agreement to memorialize methods used for years 2003, 2004, and 2005, and also to agree upon specific procedures and definitions. The accounting definitions agreed to by Camp Pendleton and the District are shown on Table 1.

A flow tracking spreadsheet has been developed through a joint effort by staff and consultants for Camp Pendleton and the District. The spreadsheet is used on a daily basis by the District to manage make-up releases and track the various accounts. The spreadsheet is updated at the end of each calendar year through a joint exchange of information used to reach agreement of the annual operations and annual accounting of the various accounts. A copy of the final spreadsheet is provided to the Watermaster for use in preparing the Annual Watermaster Report and the Annual CWRMA Report.

2.3 Hydrologic Condition

The flow requirements and make-up releases for any particular calendar year are determined based on the hydrologic condition for the preceding October through April period. The methodology for determining the hydrologic condition is specified in Section 5 of CWRMA. A calculated hydrologic index is used to classify the hydrologic condition as one of the following hydrologic year types: Critically Dry, Below Normal, Above Normal, and Very Wet. The hydrologic year type is determined by the TAC on May 1st of each year. The Minimum

Table 1
Definition of Terms
Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

Minimum Daily Flow Requirement “The *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* for each winter period shall be 11.5 cfs, less any credit unused in a previous year, and less any credit established by the May 1st accounting of the prior year” [§5(b)]. “The *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* is ... calculated on a 10-day running average” [§5(b)]. The winter period *Minimum Daily Flow Requirements* may be further reduced by the accrual of CAP Credits “when the District is required under this Section to provide *Make-Up Water* in any calendar year in excess of 4,000 acre-feet” [§5(e)]. For the non-winter period, the “*Minimum Daily Flow Requirements* (are) based upon the particular hydrologic condition established on May 1st for the prior October-April period” [§5(e)].

Actual Flow Requirement “On May 1st..., the hydrologic condition for the immediately preceding October-April period shall be determined. Such condition, and the *Daily Flow Requirements* set forth in this Section 5(b), shall be used to determine the *Actual Flow Requirement* for the prior winter period, and whether this requirement was exceeded” [§5(b)]. “Camp Pendleton may acquire rights to such groundwater above the Gorge by foregoing its right to *Make-Up Water* from the District; or to the extent that the District’s *Actual Flow Maintenance Requirements* are less than the flows in the table in Section 5” [§17]. The *Actual Flow Requirement* is equal to the *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* during the non-winter period (once the Hydrologic Condition is known) because no credits are applied in the non-winter period.

Make-Up Water “The District shall provide whatever *Make-Up Water* is needed to meet this (the *Minimum Daily Flow*) requirement” [§5(b)]. “The District shall not be required to provide more than the equivalent of 11.5 cfs *Make-Up Water* for any month”. [§5(d)] “The District guarantees that flows, based upon the 10-day running average, shall at no time be less than 3.0 cfs” [§5(f)]. “Make-Up Water ... (is) required ... at the Gorge in order to comply with the requirements of Section 5” [§6].

Climatic Credits are those credits earned by the District on Below Normal and Critically Dry years, when the *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* for the winter period is found to be greater than the *Actual Flow Requirement* determined on May 1st. “In providing *Minimum Daily Flows* ... if the District has provided *Make-Up Water* in excess of its *Actual Requirement*, the District shall be entitled to a credit for such excess. The quantity of the excess flow shall be converted to a cfs equivalent, and applied during the following winter periods to reduce the 11.5 cfs requirement” [§5(b)].

CAP Credits are those credits earned by the District when Make-Up water is in excess of 4,000 acre-feet per year. “When the District is required under this Section to provide *Make-Up Water* in any calendar year in excess of 4,000 acre-feet, measured at the Gorge, it shall be entitled to a credit for the excess, taking into account transmission losses, to be applied during the following two winter periods” [§5(e)].

Groundwater Bank (GW Bank) Credits are those credits earned by Camp Pendleton when the District’s *Actual Flow Maintenance Requirements* are less than the flows in the table in Section 5. “Camp Pendleton may acquire rights to such groundwater above the Gorge by foregoing its right to *Make-Up Water* from the District; or to the extent that the District’s *Actual Flow Maintenance Requirements* are less than the flows in the table in Section 5” [§17]. “Camp Pendleton’s rights to such groundwater in storage shall not exceed 5,000 acre-feet at any one time; and ... the District’s obligation to deliver stored groundwater shall not exceed 2,200 acre-feet per year over any required makeup obligation which the District may have, and in no event at a rate in excess of 11.5 cfs” [§17].

Credits earned by the District serve to reduce the *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* during the winter period. *Credits* are applied in the following order (1) Climatic Credits from 2 or more years prior, (2) Climatic Credits earned in the previous year, (3) CAP Credits earned from the previous year, and finally (4) CAP Credits from 2 years prior. “In all years following the first winter period, the same procedure shall be followed, provided that the *Minimum Daily Flow Requirement* for each winter period shall be 11.5 cfs, less any *credit* unused in a previous year, and less any *credit* established by the May 1st accounting of the prior year” [§5(a)].

Daily Flow Requirements at the Gorge, calculated on a 10-day running average, are specified for each month based on the hydrologic year type. The Minimum Daily Flow Requirements specified under Section 5 of CWRMA are shown on Table 2.

The hydrologic condition for 2012 was determined in accordance with CWRMA as reported in the May 1, 2012 memorandum prepared by Stetson Engineers, Inc. (consultant to Camp Pendleton), provided in Appendix A. The procedure for determining the hydrologic condition is specified in CWRMA and described in Appendix A. The Temecula Creek near Aguanga streamflow gage (USGS Gaging Station No. 11042400) and the Wildomar precipitation gage are the key sources of data used for the determination and are shown on the CWRMA Location Map.

The determination for 2012 resulted in the classification of the hydrologic condition as a Critically Dry hydrologic year and thus the Minimum Daily Flow Requirements for 2012 are shown in Table 2 under the column heading for Critically Dry hydrologic year. The determinations of the hydrologic conditions for the years 2003 through 2012 are summarized on Table 3.

2.4 Annual Accounting for 2012 CWRMA Operations

The annual accounting for CWRMA operations is prepared through a joint effort by Camp Pendleton and the District. The flow tracking spreadsheet maintained on a daily basis by the District is provided to Camp Pendleton for review and use in preparing the annual accounting. The version of the spreadsheet used for the 2012 Annual CWRMA Report is Version No. 4.2, updated January 29, 2013.

The annual accounting for the 2012 CWRMA operations is documented in the February 8, 2013 memorandum prepared by Stetson Engineers, Inc., as provided in Appendix B-1. The memorandum provides a description of the operations during 2012, including tables showing the daily flows at the Gorge, minimum flow requirements, make-up flow releases, and account balances.

Upon agreement by Camp Pendleton and the District, CWRMA includes provisions for the parties to alter normal operations to modify the Minimum Daily Flow Requirements at the Gorge. Examples of modifying the Minimum Daily Flow Requirements include instances when the parties are conducting sampling for downstream monitoring programs or requests to avoid accumulation of CAP Credits. Such modifications of CWRMA operations are accomplished through communications between and approval by the parties. Both parties requested modification of normal operations in 2012. The District requested modifications to conduct flow tests to simulate operations for the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility as described in a later section of this report and also as described in

Table 2
Section 5 Minimum Daily Flow Requirements
Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

	Critically Dry	Below Normal	Above Normal	Very Wet
Month	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs
Jan - April	4.5	8.0	17.8 *	24.1 *
May	3.8	5.7	11.7 *	15.7 *
June	3.3	4.9	9.4	12.2 *
July	3.0	4.3	7.8	9.7
August	3.0	4.4	7.6	9.2
September	3.0	4.1	7.4	9.4
October	3.0	3.9	7.7	10.1
November	3.0	4.5	8.8	11.5
December	3.3	5.3	10.4	13.5 *

* Section 5(d) of CWRMA specifies the District shall not be required to provide more than the equivalent of 11.5 cfs Make-Up Water for any month.

Table 3
Hydrologic Conditions for Operations under CWRMA
(2003 to Present)

Calendar Year	Hydrologic Condition
2003	Above Normal
2004	Critically Dry
2005	Very Wet
2006	Below Normal
2007	Critically Dry
2008	Above Normal
2009	Above Normal
2010	Very Wet
2011	Very Wet
2012	Critically Dry

Appendix B-1. Camp Pendleton requested modification to change the pattern of required releases to assist Camp Pendleton in meeting water requirements. The letters submitted by Camp Pendleton requesting the modification are provided in Appendix B-2.

One item of note concerns the USGS measured flows at the Gorge that are used for the daily determinations by the District for discharging make-up water. Two columns of daily discharges for streamflow at the Gorge are shown in the tables in Appendix B-1: the USGS official discharge and the USGS website discharge. Camp Pendleton and the District have agreed that the discharges shown on the website are accessed daily by the District for making daily decisions regarding the quantities of make-up water required and those discharges are used to compute the 10-day running average. The website discharge is considered to be provisional subject to subsequent changes by the USGS for official publication.

It is also noted the daily tables provided in Appendix B-1 for the 2012 operations show the Minimum Daily Flow Requirement for each month as determined by the hydrologic condition and any adjustments agreed upon by the parties. The winter period includes the months January through April and in accordance with Section 5(e) of CWRMA, the Minimum Daily Flow Requirement “.....shall be 11.5 cfs less any credit unused in a previous year, and less any credit established by the May 1st accounting of the prior year.” The Minimum Daily Flow Requirement for the 2012 winter period was determined to be 10.9 cfs (11.5 – 0.6) as documented in the annual accounting for the 2011 CWRMA operations (June 20, 2012 memorandum prepared by Stetson Engineers, Inc.).

A summary of the annual accounting for the 2012 CWRMA operations is shown on Table 4. During calendar year 2012, the total releases by the District to meet CWRMA flow requirements were 3,434.3 acre feet. The releases were comprised of 3,323.5 acre feet of raw water from WR-34 and 110.8 acre feet from the potable connection at WR-34. The releases of potable supplies occurred during the periods March 16 through 23, 2012, and October 19 through 27, 2012, due to the MWD operational shutdowns of the raw water line at WR-34.

The number of days each month when the 10-day running average was less than the required flow is summarized on Table 4. For calendar year 2012, there were no days when the running average was less than the required flow under normal CWRMA operations.

Table 4
Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits, and Accounts
Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement
2012 Calendar Year - Critically Dry Year

Month	USGS	USGS	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement cfs /1, 2	Section 5 Flows cfs /3	No. of Days 10-Day Running Average is Less Than Required Flow	Discharge from WR-34 AF /4, 5, 6	Climatic Credits Earned AF /7	Camp Pendleton	
	Official Discharge AF	Website Daily Discharge AF						Groundwater Account /8 Input AF	Cumulative Balance AF
January	686.3	686.3	10.9	4.5	0	608.6	372.3	37.9	5,000.0
February	900.1	900.1	10.9	4.5	0	477.7	285.1	35.4	5,000.0
March	1,672.1	1,672.1	10.9	4.5	0	448.2	255.5	37.9	5,000.0
April	728.9	731.3	10.9/5.0/3.8	4.5	0	313.5	334.9	36.6	5,000.0
May	314.0	314.8	3.8/7.8	3.8	0	285.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
June	331.6	331.6	7.3/3.3	3.3	0	314.4	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
July	190.2	190.2	3.0	3.0	0	178.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
August	185.5	185.5	3.0	3.0	0	179.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
September	178.5	178.5	3.0	3.0	0	180.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
October	189.6	189.6	3.0	3.0	0	178.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
November	179.1	179.1	3.0	3.0	0	163.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
December	860.0	860.0	3.3	3.3	0	107.3	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL	6,415.9	6,419.1			0	3,434.3	1,247.8	147.8	FULL

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. Minor difference in values shown on Table 4 compared to Appendix B-1 due to rounding.

- 1 - Required flows for January-April are equal to 11.5 cfs, less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit from 2011.
- 2 - In April, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the flow requirement was reduced from 10.9 cfs to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, 2012, the requirement was further reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with climatic credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow requirements in May and June were increased as follows: 7.8 cfs for May 21-31, 2012 and 7.3 cfs for June 1-18, 2012.
- 3 - The Table in Section 5 of the CWRMA sets forth guaranteed monthly flows at the Gorge once the Hydrologic Condition for the calendar year is established.
- 4 - CAP Credits equals WR-34 discharge in excess of 4,000 AF. No CAP Credits earned in 2012.
- 5 - From March 16-23, 2012 and from October 19-27, 2012, a portion or all of the daily releases were provided from the District's potable connection at the WR-34 pipeline. March potable releases were 65.8 AF, and October potable releases were 45.0 AF, for a total 2012 potable release of 110.8 AF.
- 6 - From August 6-19, 2012 and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 varied from 0 to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs.
- 7 - Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 discharges less actual Flow Requirements, which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of the CRWMA less applicable credits but not less than 3.0 cfs.
- 8 - Camp Pendleton's rights to groundwater equals the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement, which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

The Minimum Daily Flow Requirement for the 2013 winter period is determined as part of the annual accounting for the 2012 CWRMA operations. As described on Page 1 in Appendix B-1, the Minimum Daily Flow Requirement at the Gorge during the 2013 winter period is determined to be 5.6 cfs (11.5 – 0.6 – 5.2).

2.5 Climatic Credits

Section 5(d) of CWRMA includes a provision for comparing the winter period Minimum Daily Flow Requirements with the actual flow requirements based on the hydrologic condition determined on May 1st. For Below Normal and Critically Dry years if the Minimum Daily Flow Requirement is determined to be greater than the actual flow requirements, the District is entitled to a Climatic Credit for such excess.

Beginning in January of each year, the District provides make-up water to meet the Minimum Daily Flow Requirement of 11.5 cfs (less any applied credits) during the winter period January through April, based upon the 10-day running average. On May 1st, if the hydrologic determination results in a year type of Below Normal or Critically Dry, the actual flow requirement, in retrospect, would be less than 11.5 cfs, as shown in Table 2. The District would be entitled to Climatic Credits for any excess releases in those year types. In Above Normal and Very Wet years, the winter period flow requirements are equal to 11.5 cfs and thus Climatic Credits cannot be earned.

The Climatic Credits are determined on a volumetric basis as the accumulation of the difference of the daily WR-34 make-up water discharge, less the actual daily flow requirement, less any applied credits from the prior year. Climatic Credits earned in a particular year are converted to a cfs equivalent and applied during the following winter periods to reduce the 11.5 cfs requirement in accordance with the order of applying credits shown on Table 1.

As shown on Table 4, Climatic Credits were earned by the District in 2012 in the amount of 1,248.0 acre feet. A summary of the Climatic Credits earned and applied for the period 2003 to present is included in Appendix B-1.

2.6 CAP Credits

CAP Credits are credits earned by the District when make-up water is in excess of 4,000 acre feet per year as specified in Section 5(e) of CWRMA. Any CAP Credits earned in a particular year are applied during the following two winter periods to reduce the 11.5 cfs requirement.

As described in Appendix B-1, the District did not earn any CAP Credits in 2012. A summary of the CAP Credits earned and applied for the period 2003 to present is included in Appendix B-1.

2.7 Camp Pendleton Groundwater Account

Section 17 of CWRMA provides for emergency supplies for Camp Pendleton, including the establishment of rights to the use of groundwater in the basin upstream of the Gorge. Such rights are established by Camp Pendleton foregoing its rights to make-up water or to the extent that the District's actual flow requirements are less than the flows specified on Table 2. The cumulative balance in the Camp Pendleton groundwater account may not exceed 5,000 acre feet.

Table 4 shows the input or accrual to the Camp Pendleton groundwater account in 2012 as 148.0 acre feet earned through determining the difference between actual and required flow requirements. The groundwater input is shown on Table 4 but is not credited to the account due to the account balance maximum of 5,000 acre feet.

A summary of the groundwater account credits earned and used for the period 2003 to present is included in Appendix B-1. The maximum account balance of 5,000 acre feet was reached in 2005, and has been maintained since that time. Camp Pendleton has not used any water from the groundwater account to date.

3. Section 5(g) Monitoring Program

Section 5(g) of CWRMA provides for a program to assess the impacts of CWRMA operations on water supply, water quality and riparian habitat within Camp Pendleton. During 2007-08, Camp Pendleton initiated the Section 5(g) program named as the Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program (Program) to evaluate whether the increased flows under CWRMA impacted threatened and endangered species, riparian and wetland habitats, or water quality downstream. The Program will also support other water quality monitoring and watershed management activities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. A copy of the Statement of Work for the Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program was previously published in the 2007 and 2008 Annual Watermaster Reports. The monitoring was funded for a two-year period and the final report, *Hydrological and Biological Support to Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program Water Years 2008-2009*, was published on February 21, 2010 by the United States Bureau of Reclamation, Southern California Office, under a cooperative agreement with Camp Pendleton.

4. Section 7(d) Monitoring Program

Section 7(d) of CWRMA provides for a program to assess safe yield operations of the District for pumping groundwater from the basin upstream of the Gorge through the use of a multi-level groundwater monitoring network and periodic updates of the CWRMA Groundwater Model. In September 2006, the USGS, under contract with Camp Pendleton and the District, constructed a multi-level monitoring well for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin in accordance with Section 7(d) of CWRMA. The USGS monitoring program for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in year 2007-08. The Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is located near the confluence of Pechanga and Temecula creeks and was completed to a total depth of 1,499 feet. Six piezometers were installed for continuous water level recording in the saturated zone for the lower five screened intervals and a temperature probe for the upper-most screened interval to detect moisture in the unsaturated zone. In 2009, water level recording equipment was added for the upper-most piezometer. The piezometric head for the six piezometers for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well for the period December 27, 2006 through December 31, 2012, is shown on Figure 1.

In 2009, the groundwater monitoring program was expanded to include the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well that was previously constructed under a cooperative agreement between the USGS and the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians. Two piezometers are installed at the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well. The groundwater level monitoring for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well was previously funded by the Pechanga Band, but is now included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in year 2009-10. The piezometric head for the two piezometers for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well for the period March 5, 1990 through December 31, 2012 is shown on Figure 2.

Information concerning the construction of the Pala Park and Wolf Valley groundwater monitoring wells, groundwater levels, and water quality data can be found at the following website: <http://ca.water.usgs.gov/temecula/>. Information obtained from the website, as well as supplemental information for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well, is provided in Appendix C-1. The information for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well is provided in Appendix C-2.

Figure 1
Piezometric Head for Multiple Depth Monitoring Well
Pala Park Well (8S/2W-19A1-6)
December 27, 2006 through December 31, 2012





Figure 2
Piezometric Head for Multiple Depth Monitoring Well
Wolf Valley Well (8S/2W-20J1-2)
March 5, 1990 through December 31, 2012



5. Water Quality

5.1 Gorge

Section 10 of CWRMA specifies that the Watermaster shall monitor water quality at the Gorge. The Watermaster budget includes funding for the USGS to continuously monitor four water quality parameters at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station: dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and temperature. The annual water quality data are reported in the Annual Watermaster Report and data for the period of record can be accessed at the website: http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/uv/?site_no=11044000&agency_cd=USGS&.

5.2 Monitoring Wells

Groundwater quality data are collected as part of the Section 7(d) Monitoring Program. Data are collected by the USGS with funding through the Watermaster budget. The data can also be accessed at the following website: <http://ca.water.usgs.gov/temecula/>.

Water quality data collected to date for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well are included in Appendix C-1. Water quality data have been collected in one or more of the piezometers on an annual basis since 2006. Analyses and piezometers included in the particular annual regimen vary to maximize utility of the annual funding levels. Also included in Appendix C-1 are tri-linear and stable isotope diagrams produced by the USGS.

Water quality data for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well are included in Appendix C-2. The water quality data include samples collected in 1990 and 1993, under the prior cooperative agreement between the USGS and the Pechanga Band. Data for 2009 and 2010 were collected with funding as part of the Watermaster budget. Tri-linear and stable isotope diagrams produced by the USGS are included in Appendix C-2.

5.3 Source Water

In 2010, 2011, and 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data for the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley: (1) imported supplies for recharge at the District's groundwater recharge facilities, and (2) native supplies from Temecula Creek as sampled at Vail Lake. Funding from the Watermaster budget was used to collect and analyze the data.

The District operates groundwater recharge facilities at the head of Pauba Valley for the recharge of imported and native water supplies. Water quality data for the District's Upper VDC Recharge Basin Pond No. 5 are provided in Appendix D-1. The water quality data include a sample collected in 2007, as part of a cooperative effort between the USGS and the

District. Data for 2010 through 2012 were collected with funding through the Watermaster budget. It is interesting to note the percentage of State Water Project (SWP) water in the imported supplies compared to the percentage of Colorado River water in the imported supply. The percentage of SWP water for the 2007, 2010, 2011, and 2012 samples is estimated as 28, 19, 63, and 51 percent, respectively. Several parameters, including hardness, calcium, sodium, and chloride, show a marked difference in 2011 and 2012, compared to samples collected in 2007 and 2010.

In 2009, the District initiated a water quality sampling program at Vail Lake in part to characterize the water quality for recharge from native supplies at the head of Pauba Valley. It is of interest to characterize the Vail Lake native water prior to the planned future storage of imported supplies in Vail Lake. The water quality sampling locations for Vail Lake and water quality data collected at Vail Lake Station No. 3 for the period September 22, 2009 through December 15, 2012 are provided in Appendix D-2. Samples are collected at two depths with sample numbering reflecting the sample depths: 3 Vail 1M denotes sampling Station No. 3 at a depth of one meter below water surface and 3 Vail 1MAB denotes sampling Station No. 3 at a depth of one meter above the bottom of the lake. In 2011, water quality sampling from Station No. 3 was added to the program funded by the Watermaster in order to obtain sample analyses comparable to sampling programs for the VDC Recharge Basin Pond No. 5 and the Pala Park and Wolf Valley groundwater monitoring wells. The water quality data collected in 2011 and 2012 by the USGS under the Watermaster program are also shown in Appendix D-2.

Combined tri-linear and stable isotope diagrams for VDC Pond No. 5 and Vail Lake are repeated in both Appendices D-1 and D-2 with the parameters showing clear differences between the two sources of supply.

5.4 RCWD Production Wells

In 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data from selected groundwater production wells operated by the District within Pauba Valley as shown on the CWRMA Location Map. These wells were selected to compliment the water quality data for the monitoring wells and the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley as described in the preceding section. Previously, groundwater production wells operated by the District were included in the sampling program the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) program implemented by the California State Water Resources Control Board.

Water quality data for the selected production wells are included in Appendix E. Data reported for 2004 and 2007 were collected as part of the GAMA program. Data reported for 2012 were collected with funding from the Watermaster budget. Tri-linear and stable isotope

diagrams produced by the USGS are included in Appendix E. The stable isotope diagrams are segregated by wells considered to be completed in the Pauba Aquifer and the Temecula Aquifer.

6. CWRMA Groundwater Model

Section 7 of CWRMA provides for the District to operate the groundwater basin upstream of the Gorge on a safe-yield basis. As indicated above, Section 7(d) of CWRMA specifies that the District and Camp Pendleton will develop and utilize a monitoring program and the CWRMA Groundwater Model to assess safe-yield operations. The CWRMA Groundwater Model was developed by the TAC as part of the negotiations between the District and Camp Pendleton that resulted in the final CWRMA and is jointly owned by the two parties. The CWRMA Groundwater Model was developed over the period 1995 through early 2003, with the final model documentation report prepared on January 31, 2003. The computer code used for the CWRMA Groundwater Model is MODFLOW, which is a three-dimensional finite difference groundwater flow model developed and maintained by the USGS. The CWRMA Groundwater Model extends throughout the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin, which is the groundwater basin upstream of the Gorge and is defined in pertinent interlocutory judgments and exhibits in the *Fallbrook Case*.

The CWRMA Groundwater Model is used for assessing safe-yield operations pursuant to Section 7(d) and is also used by the District on an ongoing basis as a management tool to assess groundwater pumping impacts and to set annual pumping amounts for managing the groundwater basin. Section 7(d) of CWRMA specifies that the CWRMA Groundwater Model shall be updated periodically and in no event less frequently than every five years. Accordingly, in 2007, Camp Pendleton and the District initiated an effort to update the CWRMA Groundwater Model. Work on updating the groundwater model continued during 2012. The update will incorporate data collected from the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well and other wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin as well as take advantage of recent software and computing advancements.

7. Other Items Related to CWRMA

Other items of note for 2012 related to CWRMA include: (1) the development of a State implemented groundwater elevation monitoring program for the groundwater basin upstream of the Gorge, and (2) the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility. These items are included in the Annual CWRMA Report for informational purposes.

7.1 CASGEM Program

On November 6, 2009, the Governor for the State of California approved Senate Bill SBX7 6-Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (SBX7 6). SBX7 6 provides for a statewide

program of reporting groundwater elevation data for groundwater basins and is implemented by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). The program is referred to as the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM) Program. The Bill defines “basins” or “sub-basins” to mean a groundwater basin or sub-basin identified and defined in DWR Bulletin No. 118. Three such basins are identified in Bulletin No. 118 for the Santa Margarita River Watershed including Basin No. 9-5 (Temecula Valley) located in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin. Basin No. 9-5 generally corresponds to the groundwater basin upstream of the Gorge as specified in CWRMA and the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin as defined in the *Fallbrook Case*.

SBX7 6 establishes a procedure for a Monitoring Entity to coordinate the monitoring activities for a basin and on September 24, 2012 DWR notified the District that Rancho California Water District is designated as the Monitoring Entity for Basin No. 9-5. The District developed the CASGEM monitoring plan for Basin No. 9-5 in consultation with the TAC. Additional information for the CASGEM program, the approved monitoring plan, and groundwater monitoring data posted for Basin No. 9-5 can be found at the following website: <http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/casgem/>.

7.2 Proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility

On January 6, 2011, the District published a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Initial Consultation Package for the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility. The proposed facility involves installation of power generation equipment at the existing WR-34 Outlet and modification of the hourly make-up water releases. The 10-day running average and volumetric flow requirements under CWRMA would not be changed. Since publication of the FERC Initial Consultation Package, the District has conducted public meetings with stakeholders and consulted with Camp Pendleton through TAC meetings and other meetings to evaluate potential impacts of the proposed facility and to alleviate concerns expressed by Camp Pendleton and the Watermaster regarding impacts on CWRMA operations.

The District conducted a flow test in cooperation with Camp Pendleton to test simulated pulsed flow conditions, varying the release rate from zero to 13 cfs over a 14-day period from August 24 through September 6, 2011. Upon completion of the flow test, the District distributed to the TAC, a comprehensive Technical Report dated January 2012 incorporating the materials included in the FERC Initial Consultation Package, pre-design evaluations, and results from the flow test conducted in 2011.

Upon review of the Technical Report, the District and Camp Pendleton agreed to conduct additional flow tests during 2012. From August 6 through 19, 2012 and from November 19 through December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous

release rate at WR-34 varied from zero to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs. The District and Camp Pendleton continue to evaluate the data collected during the flow tests. In addition, the District is continuing stakeholder and TAC consultations to proceed with the next phases of environmental review and planning for the proposed facility.

Page Intentionally Blank