UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED
MAR 17 2015
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2452

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -23)

On August 26, 2013, the Panel transferred 8 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 968 F.Supp.2d 1345 (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 32 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Anthony J. Battaglia.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of California and assigned to Judge Battaglia.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 26, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Anthony J. Battaglia.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Mar 17, 2015

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on 3-17-15 That the foregoing document is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office and in my legal custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

By _____ Deputy

IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL No. 2452

SCHEDULE CTO-23 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	15-00481	Anapolsky et al v. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al